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Brief Description of the Paper

Attached is the final report of the Examination Feedback Working Group, together with its policy document entitled, Feedback following summative examinations.

Action Requested

The Committee is asked to adopt the policy and to implement the recommendations in the working group’s report.

Recommended Person/s responsible for taking the action(s) forward

Professor Frank Coton

Resource Implications (where appropriate)

No additional resources requested.

Timescale for Implementation (where appropriate)

To be implemented in session 2014-15.

Equality Implications (where appropriate)

None identified.
In October 2013, following a proposal from the SRC, Learning & Teaching Committee established a working group to consider examination feedback. This report and attached policy is now presented for approval by the Committee.

Remit
The working group was asked to consider how best to develop University policy to embed examination feedback as a mechanism to support student learning and success. In conducting its work, the group was asked to draw on examples of good practice elsewhere in the Higher Education sector and also to consult with College-level Learning & Teaching Committees.

The working group was asked to report to the April 2014 meeting of the Learning & Teaching Committee and to bring forward any proposed changes to the University policy or guidelines.

Membership
Professor Vince Bissell Convener
Mr Oliver Coombs SRC
Dr Joe Gray College of MVLS
Dr Nikki Hedge College of Social Sciences
Dr Douglas MacGregor College of Science & Engineering
Dr Helen Purchase College of Arts
Mrs Mary Ramsay Clerk

Working group discussion
The working group met on four occasions: 8/11/13, 11/12/13, 22/1/14 and 21/3/14.

The group looked at the University’s Assessment Policy as a context for developing a policy on examination feedback. Examples of examination feedback practice in other HE institutions were considered, and a survey of current practice with feedback methods and policies was carried out in the Colleges and in the SRC.

The group agreed a Feedback following Summative Examinations policy document, which was circulated to College Deans (Learning & Teaching) and the SRC for comments. At its final meeting, the working group discussed the comments received and made some modifications to the policy document. The final version is attached to this report as Appendix 1.

Recommendations
In concluding its report, the working group recommends to Learning & Teaching Committee that:

1. The Committee adopts the Feedback following Summative Examinations policy document, provided as Appendix 1 to this report.
2. The document provided as Appendix 1 should be introduced as a stand-alone policy for academic session 2014-15. It should be disseminated as quickly as possible to Colleges and Schools so that the necessary preparations can be made for implementation of the policy.

3. Operation of the policy should be reviewed after one year, and any recommended changes implemented for academic session 2016-17. The Committee should also at that time consider whether the policy should be incorporated into a revised Assessment and Feedback Policy.

4. In line with the policy, exam marks should be made available to all students for the December 2014 examination diet. The group strongly recommends that priority is given to providing a mechanism for achieving this through MyCampus, whilst noting that implementation of this aspect of the policy could be accomplished through various means.
Feedback following Summative Examinations

Rationale

The University is introducing this policy to promote the adoption of minimum standards of practice in the provision of feedback to students following summative examinations. In doing so it is supported by the SRC, which has been fully involved in the development of the policy.

The educational rationale for feedback following assessment is well understood and the principles of good feedback are recognised across the institution. The application of these principles to summative examinations has been uneven and there may be a number of reasons for this: summative examinations typically occur at the end of a course, at which point feedback may be seen as having little potential benefit in terms of improving future performance; and pressures on staff at examination times may mean that feedback is delayed, limiting its usefulness. However, there are reasons to believe that feedback following summative examinations may be beneficial and should not be neglected:

- In many degree programmes, courses build on those previously taught and assessed, so that feedback derived from summative examinations may promote content-specific learning that will lead to future improved performance.
- Feedback may address generic examination skills and, indeed, graduate attributes¹.
- Subject-specific feedback is obviously of considerable importance for students required to re-sit examinations.
- Feedback may help to promote student engagement with the assessment process, deepening the understanding of assessment criteria and their use, particularly if combined with feed-forward approaches for subsequent assessment.
- In preparing feedback, academics will reflect on cohort examination outcomes and may derive important insights that have implications for future teaching.

Feedback following summative examinations should be provided for the reasons set out above and is not intended to facilitate appeals or the challenging of academic judgement.

Policy

All those responsible for summative examinations are strongly encouraged to engage students with expectations and marking criteria in advance of the examination.

Schools will devise and publish a set of minimum standards for feedback following summative examinations, to be applied by all courses for which they are responsible. The outline standards in this policy are not intended to restrain Schools or Courses from making more detailed or extensive provision. The policy is intended to apply to continuing undergraduate and postgraduate taught students, but Schools may wish to consider whether aspects of the policy could be relevant to graduating students.

¹ E.g. Independent and Critical Thinkers, Effective Communicators, Adaptable, etc.
Appendix 1

Decisions on the approach to examination feedback will be governed by the principles of good feedback, pedagogical considerations relevant to the particular course, staff workload, the need to maintain closed question banks, etc.

The standards will include, but are not restricted to, the following:

1. **All students should receive a mark/grade for each summative examination.** If this mark/grade requires to be confirmed by an external examiner at a later date students should be made aware of its provisional nature. Where it is possible and practical to do so, schools are encouraged to provide students with a breakdown of how the examination mark/grade has been derived by indicating levels of performance in relation to individual questions or in relation to the domains assessed in the examination.

2. **Feedback should be linked to intended learning outcomes and marking criteria,** so as to allow students to better understand how their performance has led to the mark/grade awarded.

3. The provision of **individual feedback** to all students is **not** expected as the norm. School standards will indicate the degree to which individual feedback will be available. Where a course proposes more extensive feedback provision than that indicated in a School’s minimum standards, details shall be published in the Course Information Document. (In some courses, automation may make the provision of individual feedback straightforward and efficient; where this is the case it is encouraged.)

4. **Individual feedback should be made available on request** to those students who, in order to progress or graduate, are required to re-sit examinations. The mechanism for requesting feedback in these circumstances should be published. Individual feedback should, where possible, be delivered face to face but may be delivered by other means. 

5. **Generic feedback** must be provided following all summative examinations. The form which this should take is not prescribed and Schools may allow those with overall responsibility for examinations within courses to determine the mechanisms to be employed. The content of generic feedback will normally include reference to the typical features of performance at a range of standards. Courses may consider it appropriate to draw comparisons between the overall performance of the cohort and that of previous cohorts, and/or to provide information about the range of performance within the cohort. Schools must publish their minimum standards with respect to generic feedback and courses must publish the particular procedures to be adopted, so that, in advance of the examination, students are fully aware of what they can expect.

6. **Timelines** should be specified. The adoption of the following is regarded as a minimum:

   i. Generic feedback should be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 15 working days following the publication of results (including working days outwith semesters).

   ii. Where provision is made for individual feedback, the student must submit as soon as possible, but no later than 15 working days following the publication of results, a request for such feedback.

---

2 Where there are frequently large numbers of re-sit candidates, courses may wish to consider holding feedback workshops. These may be an effective means of delivering feedback as well as having the additional benefit of reducing the number of students seeking individual feedback.
iii. Those with responsibility for arranging individual feedback should respond to requests, and make arrangements for feedback to take place, as soon as is reasonably possible. Such arrangements should particularly bear in mind the needs of students preparing for re-sit examinations.

iv. Students must be made aware of revised timelines in situations where it becomes apparent that, for good reason, published deadlines will not be met.

This policy does not affect the right of students to view examination scripts.

Roles and responsibilities

1. School Learning and Teaching Committees shall devise, publish and publicise the minimum standards outlined in this policy.

2. School Learning and Teaching Committees shall scrutinise and approve the particular feedback approaches to be employed by courses for which they are responsible, and ensure that these are transparent.

3. College Learning and Teaching Committees shall scrutinise and approve the minimum standards of Schools, to ensure a general degree of consistency.

4. College Learning and Teaching Committees, and Boards of Studies, shall monitor, through the usual quality assurance processes, the implementation of this policy.

5. Students shall be actively involved in the development of standards and the monitoring of their implementation.
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